

Testing the Relative Oxidizing Hazard of Organic Wipes

J. F. Lucchini, C. J. Chancellor, B. A. Crawford, B. E. Ams,* C. Poulos,* D. Weaver

*Los Alamos National Laboratory, ADEP-RSO, 115 N. Main, Carlsbad, NM 88220 USA
lucchini@lanl.gov*

**Los Alamos National Laboratory, NPI-7, Los Alamos, NM 87545 USA*

INTRODUCTION

Organic wipes have been widely used in radioactive materials laboratories to clean chemical spills on benchtops and in gloveboxes. When those chemicals are oxidizing chemicals, they can create a disposable hazard due to the potential for oxidation and combustion of organic materials.

In this work, nine organic fabrics were experimentally evaluated for their relative oxidizing hazard, using a modified approach to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW-846 Method 1040¹. This set of experiments is a continuation of previous testing presented at the 2017 American Nuclear Society (ANS) Annual meeting².

EXPERIMENTAL

In the EPA SW-846 Method 1040, a 30-g conical pile of an oxidizing chemical mixed with a fuel is heated with an electrically-heated wire (Fig. 1). A non-oxidizer result was obtained when five replicates of a sample and duplicate sample burned at a rate slower than a 3:7 by weight reference oxidizing standard of potassium bromate (KBrO_3) to cellulose mixture, or did not burn at all. The oxidizing chemical sorbed onto the organic wipes (Table I) was potassium nitrite (KNO_2), which was found to be the fastest-burning oxidizing chemical in previous studies³.



Fig. 1. The combustion of chamois mixed with 32 wt.% KNO_2 solution.

A few modifications to the EPA method were made. The most noticeable of these are:

- Instead of grinding and sieving, which is prescribed by the method, the fabrics were cut into ~1 sq. inch-pieces.
- Samples were tested directly without the addition of cellulose, because the organic polymeric sorbent provides a source of fuel for the reaction.
- Oxidizing chemical samples were dried to a constant mass at 65 ± 10 °C rather than for 12 hours at 65 ± 5 °C. They were kept in the oven until they were burn tested (Fig.2).



Fig. 2. Several samples of different organic wipes mixed with different concentrations of KNO_2 solutions awaiting for testing in oven.

RESULTS

In Table I are given the main results on the nine wipes tested in this study.

Two samples, Hazmat Sorbent SM Pads and Kimtech Pure W4 Wipers, produced non-oxidizer results even when mixed with a near-saturated (75 wt. %) KNO_2 solution to their sorption capacity. On the other hand, Cheesecloth Wipers, Quik Solid Pads and PIG BLUE Absorbent Mat were tested as oxidizers using a 5 wt. % KNO_2 solution.

Complete results can be found in a Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) report⁴, and will be discussed during the presentation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was performed per a request from the LANL Hazardous Materials Management group. The authors wish to acknowledge the New Mexico State University (NMSU)-operated Carlsbad Environmental Monitoring and Research Center (CEMRC) for the support of the laboratory facility.

REFERENCES

1. EPA, EPA SW-846, *Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste*, Physical/Chemical Methods, Method 1040, Test Method for Oxidizing Solids, Rev.0, Environmental Protection Agency (2007).
2. J.F. LUCCHINI, B.A. CRAWFORD, C.J. CHANCELLOR, C. POULOS, T. HAYES, *Experimental Evaluation of an Oxidizing Hazard in the Presence of Sorbents*, Transactions of the American Nuclear Society, Vol.116, pp. 233-234, San Francisco, CA, June 11-15, 2017, LA-UR-17-21067 (2017).
3. C. CHANCELLOR, *Oxidizer Scoping Studies*, Los Alamos National Laboratory report, LA-UR-16-28553 (2016).
4. B.E. AMS, *Results of Testing the Relative Oxidizing Hazard of Wipes and KMI Zeolite*, Los Alamos National Laboratory report, LA-UR-17-23809 (2017).

Table I. List of sorbents evaluated in this study, their primary chemical composition, the maximum KNO_2 concentration in dry sample (in weight percent – wt. %) for the corresponding result.

Sorbents	Primary Chemical Composition	Maximum KNO_2 concentration in dry sample (wt. %)	Overall Results
Hazmat Sorbent SM Pads, Premium Wipers	Polypropylene	90	Incomplete burns → non-oxidizer
Kimtech Pure W4 Wipers	Polypropylene	85	Incomplete burns → non-oxidizer
Polybenzimidazole (PBI) Staple Fiber	Sulfonated polybenzimidazole	40	Incomplete burns → non-oxidizer
Tanner's Select Chamois	Polypeptides (mostly collagen)	32	Incomplete burns → non-oxidizer
Wool Felt	Polypeptides (mostly keratin)	30	Incomplete burns → non-oxidizer
Microfiber Cleaning Cloth (Suede)	80% Polyester 20% Nylon (polyamide)	17	Non-oxidizer
Cheesecloth Wipers	Cellulose	22	65 % average rate relative to standard → Oxidizer
Quik Solid Pads	70-100% Polyester 10-30% Sodium polyacrylate	49	55 % average rate relative to standard → Oxidizer
PIG BLUE Absorbent Mat	60-70% Cellulose 15-25% Polypropylene 10-15% Polyethylene 10-15% Fire retardant 10-15% Polyester	33	93 % average rate relative to standard → Oxidizer